

Current Developments in Nutrition

A Publication of the American Society for Nutrition | www.nutrition.org

Current Developments in Nutrition
CDN/2017/000422
Version 3

Usual consumption of specific dairy foods is associated with breast cancer in the Roswell Park Cancer Institute Databank and BioRepository

Corresponding Author: Susan E. McCann
Additional Authors: Justine Hays, Charlotte W. Baumgart, Edward H. Weiss, Song Yao, Christine B Ambrosone

Date Received: 3 Feb 2017

This paper includes additional materials for review purposes. To view additional materials, click on the [\[Download Supplemental Files\]](#) link available in the Full MS Info view of the manuscript. To reach this manuscript view, go to <http://submit.cdn.nutrition.org>, and log in to your account. Enter the Reviewer Area and click on [Active Reviews](#).

Instructions for Authors:
<http://cdn.nutrition.org/content/information-authors>

Usual consumption of specific dairy foods is associated with breast cancer in the Roswell Park Cancer Institute Databank and BioRepository

Susan E. McCann¹, Justine Hays², Charlotte W. Baumgart³, Edward H. Weiss³, Song Yao¹, Christine B. Ambrosone¹.

¹Department of Cancer Prevention and Control, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY 14263

²Cornell Cooperative Extension of Niagara County, Lockport, NY

³Dietetics Department, D'Youville College, Buffalo, NY

Corresponding author: Susan E. McCann, Department of Cancer Prevention and Control, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Elm and Carlton Streets, Buffalo, NY 14263. Email: susan.mccann@roswellpark.org; Phone: 716-845-8842; Fax: 716-845-8487

Abbreviations:

BMI	body mass index
DBBR	Databank and BioRepository
ER+/-	estrogen receptor positive/negative
FFQ	food frequency questionnaire
OR	odds ratio
CI	confidence interval
RPCI	Roswell Park Cancer Institute

Acknowledgements: This work was supported in part by the National Cancer Institute grant P30CA016056 involving the use of the Roswell Park Cancer Institute Databank and Biorepository Shared Resource

No conflicts of interest

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Background.** Dairy foods are complex mixtures which include nutrients and non-nutrient
3 substances that could potentially influence cancer etiology, including breast cancer.

4 **Objective.** The purpose of this study was to examine associations between the types and
5 quantity of dairy foods consumed and breast cancer among women participating in the Roswell
6 Park Cancer Institute (RPCI) Data Bank and BioRepository (DBBR) between 2003 and 2014.

7 **Methods.** Archived clinical and questionnaire data were obtained from the DBBR from 1,941
8 women diagnosed with breast cancer between December 2003 and October 2014, and 1237
9 control participants. Intakes of dairy foods were queried with a self-administered food frequency
10 questionnaire and grouped into monthly intakes of total dairy, milk, yogurt, low-fat cheese, other
11 cheese, and sweet dairy. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated
12 with unconditional logistic regression adjusting for age, race, BMI, menopausal status, energy
13 intake, type of milk usually consumed, cigarette smoking status, and family history of breast
14 cancer.

15 **Results.** Total dairy intakes were associated with a non-significant 15% reduction in breast
16 cancer risk ($p=0.11$). Higher intakes of yogurt were associated with reduced risk of breast
17 cancer (OR 0.61, 95% CI 0.46-0.82) and higher intakes of American, cheddar, and cream
18 cheeses were associated with a marginally significant increased risk (OR 1.53, 95% CI 0.99-
19 2.34; $p=0.05$). Associations with dairy foods were mixed when stratified by estrogen receptor
20 status, and in general reflected those of overall breast cancer. However, we observed positive
21 associations between milk intake and risk of ER- breast cancer (OR 1.58, 95% CI 1.05-2.37)
22 and inverse associations between sweet dairy and ER+ breast cancer (OR 0.52, 95% CI 0.29-
23 0.95).

24 **Conclusions.** Specific dairy foods may contribute to breast cancer risk in women, although risk
25 varies by source of dairy. Future studies are warranted to confirm the protective potential of
26 yogurt in this cancer.

27 INTRODUCTION

28 Several lines of evidence suggest that calcium and vitamin D containing foods,
29 particularly dairy, may be important in the etiology of several cancers (1). Vitamin D, as
30 measured by circulating levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D, has been inversely associated with risk
31 of colorectal cancer, and to a lesser degree with risk of breast cancer (2), yet evidence for
32 associations with calcium intake has been inconsistent (1). Despite some supporting evidence
33 from observational studies, supplemental low dose vitamin D and calcium was not shown to be
34 preventive against breast cancer incidence in the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) (2,3). Aside
35 from studies of vitamin D and calcium as single nutrients, evidence for dairy products in
36 association with breast cancer risk is also variable, and dependent upon dose, dairy food form,
37 and period of consumption (youth vs. adult) (4). This complexity is probably not unexpected, as
38 dairy foods are complex mixtures and include several nutrients and non-nutrient substances that
39 could potentially influence cancer etiology, through either increases or decreases in risk.
40 Healthy lifestyle factors that may accompany dairy food consumption could further confound the
41 associations, although to our knowledge, this has not been directly documented in the literature.
42 To better understand these complex relationships and to facilitate the synthesis of evidence-
43 based dietary recommendations, more epidemiologic studies are necessary. The purpose of
44 this study was to examine the associations between the types and quantity of dairy foods
45 consumed and breast cancer among women participating in the Roswell Park Cancer Institute
46 Data Bank and BioRepository between 2003 and 2014.

47 METHODS

48 Archived clinical and questionnaire data were obtained from the Data Bank and
49 BioRepository (DBBR) at Roswell Park Cancer Institute (RPCI) from 1941 women diagnosed
50 with breast cancer between December 2003 and October 2014, and 1237 control participants.

51 DBBR control participants had no reported history of cancer, and were recruited from those who
52 were accompanying cases (friends and family members), were community volunteers, or were
53 employees of RPCI. Controls were randomly selected from this pool of healthy participants and
54 frequency matched on 10 year age strata to cases. The DBBR is a shared resource at Roswell
55 Park Cancer Institute that provides biospecimens and linked data for studies of cancer etiology
56 and prognosis (5). The protocol for DBBR was approved by the RPCI Institutional Review Board
57 and all participants provided signed informed consent. Demographics, anthropometrics,
58 medical history, lifestyle variables, and food habits were ascertained with a self-administered
59 extensive epidemiologic questionnaire, and clinical characteristics for women with breast cancer
60 were obtained from the RPCI tumor registry through linkage with DBBR. We excluded women
61 with energy intakes <2092 kJ/d or >18828 kJ/d (n=119), leaving 1857 cases and 1202 controls
62 for analyses.

63 As part of the self-administered questionnaire, participants completed a detailed food
64 frequency questionnaire, which queried the usual frequency of consumption in the year prior to
65 diagnosis of 110 foods and beverages. Nutrient intake was calculated from the FFQ using
66 USDA food composition data and standard nutrient calculation algorithms. Dairy foods queried
67 on the FFQ included fluid milk, yogurt, cheese (American, cheddar, or cream cheese), low fat
68 cheese, ricotta or cottage cheese, ice cream, low-fat frozen desserts, and pudding. Dairy foods
69 were classified according to nutrient content and culinary use, and were expressed as servings
70 per month calculated from the FFQ as the product of frequency of use and portion size summed
71 across group members. Separate questions were not queried for milk by fat content; rather, a
72 single qualitative question was included that asked what types of milk were usually consumed
73 that allowed multiple choices to be recorded but did not capture the proportion of each milk
74 consumed. Therefore, we were unable to examine associations with milk by fat content.
75 Monthly dairy consumption was categorized to represent typical daily serving sizes, and ranges

76 varied according to dairy group. Dairy intakes were not normally distributed; therefore, dairy
77 consumption was evaluated as categorical variables.

78 **Statistical methods.** Statistical analyses were conducted with SAS 9.3 for Windows
79 (Cary, NC). All tests were two-sided and considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.
80 Menopause was defined as self-reported cessation of menses either as natural menopause or
81 hysterectomy with bilateral oophorectomy. Differences in characteristics between cases and
82 controls were assessed with standard descriptive statistics: differences in continuous
83 characteristics between cases and controls were assessed with Student's t-tests and with
84 Pearson's chi square for categorical variables. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals
85 (CI) for the associations of breast cancer with each dairy group and total dairy were estimated
86 with unconditional logistic regression adjusting for age (continuous), race (Caucasian/other),
87 BMI (continuous), menopausal status (pre- or postmenopausal), energy intake (continuous),
88 type of milk usually consumed (nonfat, low-fat, whole, or non-dairy milk), cigarette smoking
89 status (never, former, current), and family history of breast cancer (yes/no). Although
90 unadjusted ORs were similar to the adjusted estimates, adjustment for the above variables
91 slightly strengthened the observed associations. In the interest of clarity, only the adjusted
92 estimates are presented. Additional covariates (education, food groups other than dairy,
93 alcohol, physical activity, other lifestyle variables) were assessed for inclusion, but did not
94 substantially modify the risk estimates. Analyses were conducted for breast cancer overall and
95 further assessed by estrogen receptor status (ER+ and ER-). If data for ER status were
96 missing, those cases were excluded for that analysis. Finally, as stratification by menopausal
97 status is conventional in breast cancer research, we had initially conducted stratified analyses.
98 However, estimates were similar between pre- and postmenopausal women; therefore, overall
99 breast cancer estimates are presented herein.

101 **RESULTS**

102 The descriptive characteristics of the women with breast cancer and healthy controls
103 selected from the RPCI DBBR and included in this analysis are shown in **Table 1**. Among pre-
104 and postmenopausal women, those with breast cancer tended to be older than those without
105 breast cancer (mean±SD 45.5±5.9 vs. 44.7±5.9 years and 64.6±8.9 vs. 62.3±8.6 years, pre-and
106 postmenopausal cases and controls, respectively). Postmenopausal women with breast cancer
107 had higher mean BMI compared to postmenopausal women without breast cancer (29.2±6.4 vs.
108 28.4±6.1, kg/m²). Age at menarche was comparable between cases and controls but cases
109 were less likely than controls to have never had children. Premenopausal cases were more
110 likely to be never smokers compared to premenopausal controls, whereas postmenopausal
111 cases were more likely to be current smokers compared to postmenopausal controls. As
112 expected, family history of breast cancer was higher among women with breast cancer
113 compared to those without breast cancer.

114 The clinical characteristics of women with breast cancer are detailed in **Table 2**. The
115 majority of breast cancer was stage 2 or lower, among both pre- and postmenopausal women.
116 ER- cancers were present in 20.0% of premenopausal and 16.3% of postmenopausal women.
117 Approximately 25% of tumors were PR-. HER2 status was positive in 12.8% of premenopausal
118 and 7.2% of postmenopausal women.

119 Although we were unable to examine associations between breast cancer and milk by
120 fat content, adjustment for types of milk usually consumed significantly affected the majority of
121 the estimates and thus was included in all models. In our sample, preference was distributed as
122 follows: 14% drank no milk, 24% usually drank nonfat milk, 20% usually drank 2% milk, 11%
123 usually drank 1% milk, 4% usually drank whole milk, and 27% drank various combinations of
124 milk types (data not shown).

125 Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for associations between dairy intakes and
126 breast cancer are shown in **Table 3**. For total dairy, women in the highest vs. lowest category
127 of intake (≥ 42 svg/mo vs < 14 svg/mo) had a marginally significant 15% lower risk of breast
128 cancer (OR 0.85, 95%CI 0.68-1.06; $p=0.11$). The inverse association between total dairy intake
129 and breast cancer appeared to be mainly attributable to higher yogurt intakes (OR 0.61, 95% CI
130 0.46-0.82). Contrary to our observations between yogurt and breast cancer, we observed a
131 marginally significant 53% increased risk of breast cancer associated with higher 'other cheese'
132 (American, cheddar, cream cheese) intakes (OR 1.53, 95% CI 0.99-2.34; $p=0.05$). No
133 associations were observed with the remaining dairy groups and breast cancer in these data.

134 Associations between intakes of total dairy and specific dairy foods and ER+ and ER-
135 breast cancer are shown in **Table 4**. We observed a borderline significant 18% reduction in the
136 risk of ER+ cancer among women with highest vs. lowest total dairy intakes (OR 0.82, 95% CI
137 0.64-1.04; $p=0.10$). Similar to breast cancer risks overall, yogurt intake was statistically
138 significantly negatively associated with risk of both ER+ and ER- breast cancer (OR 0.65, 95%
139 CI 0.48-0.89 and OR 0.61, 95% CI 0.38-0.99, ER+ and ER- respectively). However, the
140 estimates were comparable supporting no effect of ER status on the observed associations (p
141 for heterogeneity=0.73). Intakes of lowfat cheese were inversely associated with risk of ER-
142 breast cancer (OR 0.54, 95% CI 0.29-0.99), although the estimates were not statistically
143 significantly different from those associated with ER+ breast cancer (p for heterogeneity=0.23).
144 Associations between milk intake and ER status were significantly different (p for
145 heterogeneity=0.04) with no associations observed for ER+ breast cancer, but increased risks
146 of ER- breast cancer (OR 1.58, 95% CI 1.05-2.37). Finally, we observed significant
147 heterogeneity in associations between sweet dairy foods and ER status ($p=0.01$) wherein higher
148 intakes were inversely associated with ER+ breast cancer (OR 0.52, 95% CI 0.29-0.95), but

149 appeared to increase risk of ER- breast cancer, although this association was not statistically
150 significant (OR 1.55, 95% CI 0.89-2.70).

151 **DISCUSSION**

152 In a recent meta-analysis, total dairy and yogurt consumption were inversely associated
153 with breast cancer risk, especially among premenopausal women (4). Similarly, in this hospital-
154 based case-control study of usual adult dairy consumption and breast cancer, we observed total
155 dairy consumption to be negatively associated with breast cancer, and especially ER+ cancer.
156 Whereas milk consumption was weakly negatively associated with breast cancer overall, higher
157 intakes were strongly positively associated with ER- postmenopausal breast cancer.
158 Unexpectedly, consumption of sweetened dairy foods (pudding, low-fat frozen yogurt, and ice
159 cream) was inversely related to ER+ breast cancer.

160 Dairy foods are important sources of several nutrients that could favorably impact cancer
161 risk, including vitamin D, calcium, conjugated linoleic acid, butyrate, and other nutrients and
162 phytochemicals, but also contain substances, such as IGF-1 and other growth hormones, that
163 may adversely affect risk (6,7). In the Nurses' Health Study (NHS), calcium and vitamin D were
164 inversely related to breast cancer incidence among premenopausal women (8). Multivariable
165 relative risk (RR) for highest calcium intake versus lowest calcium intake was 0.80, 95% CI
166 0.58-1.12. When further separated into dairy versus nondairy calcium, dairy calcium was
167 associated inversely with risk. Consumption of >800 mg of calcium versus <200 mg of calcium
168 had a RR of 0.69, 95% CI=0.48 to 0.98. Total vitamin D intake was also associated with lower
169 risk of breast cancer in premenopausal women (RR 0.72, 95% CI 0.55-0.94) in the NHS.

170 On the other hand, the Women's Health Initiative, a large randomized trial of vitamin D
171 and calcium in postmenopausal women, did not show a beneficial effect of supplementation of
172 these nutrients on breast cancer risk in women already consuming supplements (3), a common

173 practice among postmenopausal women. However, associations with dairy foods and breast
174 cancer were not assessed in WHI, and, to our knowledge, clinical trials testing the impact of
175 dairy foods on cancer risk have not been conducted. Given that dairy foods are complex
176 mixtures of nutrients and non-nutrient substances that could be negatively as well as positively
177 associated with risk, an examination of whole foods rather than single nutrients is warranted.

178 Whereas dairy foods may be important contributors of nutrients with anti-carcinogenic
179 potential, inverse associations may be partly explained by healthier lifestyle adopted by those
180 who consume low fat dairy products. These factors could include tobacco avoidance, being
181 physically active, use of dietary supplements, and interest in health promoting behaviors,
182 although the literature is sparse concerning documentation of these associations. However,
183 adjustment for lifestyle factors such as physical activity did not have a large impact on our
184 observed estimates, and we were unable to examine dairy consumption according to fat
185 content, as our FFQ was not designed to query this level of detail. However, adjustment for
186 usual type of milk consumed was an important covariate and suggests that part of our
187 associations could be due to reduced fat consumption from low-fat dairy products as the
188 majority of milk consumed was nonfat or reduced fat.

189 Despite fluid milk consumption providing the largest contribution to total dairy intake in
190 our study ($R^2=0.72$), we observed fairly substantial inverse associations with yogurt
191 consumption. Yogurt provides nutrients and non-nutrient compounds beyond those found in
192 fluid milk, including probiotics and prebiotics that could promote a healthy gut bacterial
193 community structure (9). The gut bacterial community has been implicated in both innate as
194 well as adaptive immunity, which suggests that dysbiosis may contribute to suboptimal immune
195 function and subsequent disease development (10,11). Therefore, it is possible that higher
196 yogurt consumption may be favorably impacting immune function and subsequent cancer risk.

197 Contrary to our expectations, we observed inverse associations between intakes of
198 sweet dairy foods and ER+ breast cancer, although no associations with breast cancer overall.
199 The sweet dairy group included ice cream, frozen yogurt, low-fat frozen desserts, and pudding,
200 and therefore contributed primarily to added sugar intakes. Higher sugar intakes could increase
201 insulin secretion which has been associated with cancer etiology; therefore, one would expect a
202 positive association between intakes of these foods and breast cancer. Furthermore, intake of
203 these foods was low and did not contribute a large proportion of the variation in total dairy
204 intakes ($R^2 \leq 0.04$). Although there may be unknown mechanisms responsible for this finding, it
205 is also possible that it is due to chance.

206 Whereas the majority of associations between dairy intake and breast cancer in this
207 study were inverse, a strong positive association was noted between high vs low consumption
208 of milk and ER- postmenopausal breast cancer. Fluid milk is relatively high in IGF-1 as a
209 consequence of growth hormones used to increase milk production (12). As IGF-1 and the
210 estrogen receptor participate in substantial cross-talk, positive associations would be more likely
211 between ER+ breast cancer and dairy, which is contrary to our current findings (13-15).
212 Alternately, milk protein consumption has been shown to increase postprandial
213 hyperinsulinemia which could potentially increase cell growth and proliferation, independent of
214 the estrogen receptor (16).

215 The current study is subject to limitations common in hospital-based case-control
216 studies. Case-control studies may be susceptible to recall bias if the cases are more likely than
217 controls to remember an exposure. Both cases and the majority of controls were recruited at
218 RPCI or at cancer-focused community events and participants completed the questionnaire at
219 home. Whereas the controls did not have a cancer diagnosis, many were family members or
220 friends of non-breast cancer patients seeking care at RPCI, and thus may also be more aware
221 of the role of diet in cancer etiology, thus reducing the differential recall between the groups.

222 Another possible limitation is that all cases were patients of RPCI and all controls were friends,
223 relatives or community members of the surrounding area. Therefore, the results may not be
224 generalizable to all women, but should be generalizable to the western New York catchment
225 area.

226 Dietary intake was queried with a self-administered FFQ which included the majority of
227 commonly consumed dairy foods. Accurate completion of an FFQ requires averaging of
228 estimated intake of a fairly large number of foods and therefore, intake could be under- or over-
229 estimated by this method. Measurement of absolute intake was not a goal of our study; rather
230 we ranked participants on reported intake, which is standard epidemiologic methodology. Dairy
231 intake in our sample was comparable to that reported in NHANES (17) and we are confident
232 that intake estimates are adequate for United States populations. Finally, although the time
233 period of interest was specified to be in the few years before diagnosis, this may not be the
234 relevant time period for breast cancer development, particularly if growth hormones are of
235 interest. Timing of dairy consumption was examined in the meta-analysis by Zang et al, and
236 childhood consumption was not strongly associated with subsequent breast cancer risk (4).

237 In conclusion, we found inverse associations between total dairy intake and yogurt
238 intake with breast cancer and positive associations between other cheese and breast cancer, as
239 well as between milk and ER- breast cancer. Our study suggests that specific dairy foods may
240 influence breast cancer risk, although the direction of the associations vary by food source.
241 Future studies are also warranted to explore the mechanisms by which yogurt could contribute
242 to risk reduction for breast cancer.

243 **Authors contributions to manuscript.** SEM, JH, and CBA designed and conducted research;
244 SEM and JH analyzed data, wrote the manuscript, and had primary responsibility for final

245 content; SEM, JH, CWB, EHW, SY, and CBA contributed to review and refinement of
246 manuscript after initial analyses. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Reference List

1. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective. Washington DC: AICR; 2007.
2. Jacobs ET, Kohler LN, Kunihiro AG, Jurutka PW. Vitamin D and Colorectal, Breast, and Prostate Cancers: A Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. *J Cancer*. 2016;7:232-40.
3. Bolland MJ, Grey A, Gamble GD, Reid IR. Calcium and vitamin D supplements and health outcomes: a reanalysis of the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) limited-access data set. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011 Oct;94:1144-9.
4. Zang J, Shen M, Du S, Chen T, Zou S. The Association between Dairy Intake and Breast Cancer in Western and Asian Populations: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Breast Cancer*. 2015 Dec;18:313-22.
5. Ambrosone CB, Nesline MK, Davis W. Establishing a cancer center data bank and biorepository for multidisciplinary research. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2006 Sep;15:1575-7.
6. Chagas CE, Rogero MM, Martini LA. Evaluating the links between intake of milk/dairy products and cancer. *Nutr Rev*. 2012 May;70:294-300.
7. Qin LQ, He K, Xu JY. Milk consumption and circulating insulin-like growth factor-I level: a systematic literature review. *Int J Food Sci Nutr*. 2009;60 Suppl 7:330-40.
8. Shin MH, Holmes MD, Hankinson SE, Wu K, Colditz GA, Willett WC. Intake of dairy products, calcium, and vitamin d and risk of breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2002 Sep 4;94:1301-11.
9. Merenstein DJ, Smith KH, Scriven M, Roberts RF, Sanders ME, Petterson S. The study to investigate the potential benefits of probiotics in yogurt, a patient-oriented, double-blind, cluster-randomised, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2010 Jul;64:685-91.
10. Honda K, Littman DR. The microbiota in adaptive immune homeostasis and disease. *Nature*. 2016 Jul 6;535:75-84.
11. Thaïss CA, Zmora N, Levy M, Elinav E. The microbiome and innate immunity. *Nature*. 2016 Jul 6;535:65-74.
12. Collier DA, Arranz MJ, Li T, Mupita D, Brown N, Treasure J. Association between 5-HT2A gene promoter polymorphism and anorexia nervosa. *Lancet*. 1997 Aug 9;350:412.
13. Lee AV, Jackson JG, Gooch JL, Hilsenbeck SG, Coronado-Heinsohn E, Osborne CK, Yee D. Enhancement of insulin-like growth factor signaling in human breast cancer: estrogen regulation of insulin receptor substrate-1 expression in vitro and in vivo. *Mol Endocrinol*. 1999 May;13:787-96.

14. Yee D, Lee AV. Crosstalk between the insulin-like growth factors and estrogens in breast cancer. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia*. 2000 Jan;5:107-15.
15. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Reeves GK, Roddam AW. Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), IGF binding protein 3 (IGFBP3), and breast cancer risk: pooled individual data analysis of 17 prospective studies. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010 Jun;11:530-42.
16. Ludwig DS. The glycemic index: physiological mechanisms relating to obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. *JAMA*. 2002 May 8;287:2414-23.
17. Cifelli CJ, Houchins JA, Demmer E, Fulgoni VL. Increasing Plant Based Foods or Dairy Foods Differentially Affects Nutrient Intakes: Dietary Scenarios Using NHANES 2007-2010. *Nutrients*. 2016;8.

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of breast cancer cases and controls, Roswell Park Cancer Institute Data Bank and BioRepository, 2003-2014[§]

	Premenopausal		Postmenopausal	
	Cases n=601	Controls n=470	Cases n=1256	Controls n=732
	Mean±SD			
Age, years	45.5±5.9*	44.7±5.9	64.6±8.9**	62.3±8.6
BMI, kg/m ²	27.0±6.3	27.4±6.0	29.2±6.4	28.4±6.1
	n (%)			
Age at menarche, years				
≤11	96 (16.0)	94 (20.0)	259 (20.6)	146 (20.0)
12	202 (33.6)	153 (32.6)	390 (31.1)	230 (31.4)
13	177 (29.5)	123 (26.2)	356 (28.3)	212 (29.0)
14	60 (10.0)	61 (13.0)	140 (11.2)	81 (11.1)
15	36 (6.0)	20 (4.3)	57 (4.5)	29 (4.0)
≥16 or never had	30 (5.0)	19 (4.0)	54 (4.3)	34 (4.6)
period				
Age at first birth				
Nulliparous	133 (22.1)*	131 (27.9)	203 (16.2)*	134 (18.3)
≤19	53 (8.8)	57 (12.1)	205 (16.3)	80 (10.9)
20-24	149 (24.8)	100 (21.3)	466 (37.1)	258 (35.3)
25-29	140 (23.3)	102 (21.7)	250 (19.9)	175 (23.9)
30-34	99 (16.5)	58 (12.3)	99 (7.9)	64 (8.7)
≥35	27 (4.5)	22 (4.7)	33 (2.6)	21 (2.9)
Smoking status				
Never	353 (58.7)**	262 (55.7)	613 (48.8)*	387 (52.9)
Former	166 (27.6)	144 (30.6)	531 (42.3)	300 (41.0)
Current	82 (13.6)	64 (13.6)	112 (8.9)	45 (6.2)
Family history of breast cancer				
No	471 (78.4)**	403 (85.7)	950 (75.6)	603 (82.4)
Yes	130 (21.6)	67 (14.3)	306 (24.4)	129 (17.6)

[§]Excludes women with implausible dietary data

Differences between cases and controls assessed with Student's t-test for continuous variables and Pearson's chi-square for categorical variables; *p<0.05, **p<0.01

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of women with breast cancer, Roswell Park Cancer Institute Data Bank and BioRepository, 2003-2014

	Premenopausal (n=601)	n (%)	Postmenopausal (n=1256)
Stage			
0	92 (15.3)		156 (12.4)
1	225 (37.4)		591 (47.1)
2	181 (30.1)		318 (25.3)
3	65 (10.8)		80 (6.4)
4	10 (1.7)		25 (2.0)
Unknown/Missing	28 (4.7)		86 (6.9)
Estrogen receptor status			
Negative	120 (20.0)		205 (16.3)
Positive	413 (68.7)		880 (70.1)
Missing	68 (11.3)		171 (13.6)
Progesterone receptor status			
Negative	149 (24.8)		341 (27.2)
Positive	384 (63.9)		745 (59.3)
Missing	68 (11.3)		169 (13.5)
HER2			
Borderline	0		2 (0.2)
Negative	374 (62.2)		818 (65.1)
Positive	77 (12.8)		90 (7.2)
Missing	150 (25.0)		346 (27.6)

Table 3. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for associations between total dairy and specific dairy foods and breast cancer, Roswell Park Cancer Institute Data Bank and BioRepository, 2003-2014

Servings/mo	Case	Control	OR (95% CI)
Total dairy			
0-14	424	245	1.00
14-28	457	250	1.10 (0.88-1.38)
28-42	360	241	0.93 (0.73-1.18)
≥42	616	466	0.85 (0.68-1.06)
Milk			
0	495	322	1.00
≤ 14	852	535	0.94 (0.78-1.14)
14-28	250	172	0.88 (0.69-1.13)
≥28	260	173	0.96 (0.75-1.24)
Yogurt			
0	417	196	1.00
≤ 14	1268	843	0.78 (0.64-0.96)
≥14	172	163	0.61 (0.46-0.82)
Lowfat cheese			
0	191	115	1.00
≤ 14	1513	958	0.99 (0.76-1.27)
≥14	153	129	0.84 (0.60-1.19)
Other cheese			
0	82	63	1.00
0-14	1613	1034	1.28 (0.91-1.82)
≥14	162	105	1.53 (0.99-2.34)
Sweet dairy			
0	74	41	1.00
0-14	1537	974	0.89 (0.60-1.33)
14-28	178	136	0.75 (0.47-1.18)
≥28	68	51	0.73 (0.42-1.26)

Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals estimated with unconditional logistic regression adjusting for age, race, BMI, menopausal status, energy intake, type of milk usually consumed (nonfat, low-fat, whole, or non-dairy milk), cigarette smoking status, and family history of breast cancer

Table 4. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for associations between total dairy and specific dairy foods and breast cancer by estrogen receptor status, Roswell Park Cancer Institute Data Bank and BioRepository, 2003-2014

	Controls (n=1202)	ER positive (n=1293)	OR (95% CI)	ER negative (n=205)	OR (95% CI)	p for heterogeneity
Svg/mo						
Total dairy						
0-14	245	301	1.00	72	1.00	0.24
14-28	250	326	1.11 (0.87-1.42)	70	0.97 (0.66-1.43)	
28-42	241	249	0.91 (0.70-1.18)	64	0.99 (0.66-1.46)	
≥42	466	417	0.82 (0.64-1.04)	119	1.00 (0.68-1.46)	
Milk						
0	322	355	1.00	76	1.00	0.04
≤ 14	535	586	0.90 (0.74-1.11)	142	1.03 (0.74-1.42)	
14-28	172	178	0.87 (0.66-1.14)	46	1.12 (0.74-1.71)	
≥28	173	174	0.88 (0.67-1.16)	61	1.58 (1.05-2.37)	
Yogurt						
0	196	290	1.00	74	1.00	0.73
≤ 14	843	883	0.81 (0.66-1.00)	218	0.72 (0.52-0.98)	
≥14	163	120	0.65 (0.48-0.89)	33	0.61 (0.38-0.99)	
Lowfat cheese						
0	115	125	1.00	40	1.00	0.23
≤ 14	958	1062	1.06 (0.80-1.40)	264	0.82 (0.55-1.23)	
≥14	129	106	0.92 (0.63-1.35)	21	0.54 (0.29-0.99)	
Other cheese						
0	63	58	1.00	13	1.00	0.92
≤14	1034	1125	1.32 (0.90-1.93)	283	1.31 (0.70-2.42)	
≥14	105	110	1.56 (0.97-2.50)	29	1.44 (0.68-3.03)	
Sweet dairy						
0 [†]	41	56	1.00			0.01
0-14	974	1074	0.82 (0.54-1.26)	276	1.00	
14-28	136	124	0.68 (0.42-1.11)	29	0.82 (0.53-1.26)	
≥28	51	39	0.52 (0.29-0.95)	20	1.55 (0.89-2.70)	

Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals estimated with unconditional logistic regression adjusting for age, race, BMI, menopausal status, energy intake, type of milk usually consumed (nonfat, low-fat, whole, or non-dairy milk), cigarette smoking status, and family history of breast cancer

[†]Categories collapsed to 0-14, 14-28, and >28 for ER negative cancer