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Abstract

Background: Nutrition is critical to HIV mortality and morbidity. Improved treatment modalities have increased life expectancy of HIV-infected individuals. More than 1 million US adults are living with HIV, but little is known about their nutritional status.

Objective: We aimed to characterize the nutritional status of those living with HIV with the use of the NHANES 2003–2014.

Methods: The NHANES is a nationally representative, cross-sectional survey of the US population and includes a household interview, medical examination, and two 24-h dietary recalls; survey weights are applied to make the data nationally representative. HIV antibodies were ascertained initially by immunoassay and confirmed with Western blot. NHANES 2003–2014 data were analyzed for HIV-positive (n = 87) and HIV-negative (n = 15,868) US adults (aged 19–49 y). Body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, dietary intakes, and nutritional biomarkers were estimated and compared by HIV status, stratified by sex.

Results: HIV-infected men and women had higher serum protein, lower serum albumin, and lower serum folate than did non–HIV-infected adults. HIV-positive women had significantly higher BMI, prevalence of overweight or obesity, and waist circumference risk and substantially lower serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations (44 compared with 65 nmol/L) than did HIV-negative women. When compared with HIV-negative women, HIV-positive women had lower intakes of some key nutrients such as fiber, vitamin E, vitamin K, magnesium, and potassium but had higher intakes of protein and niacin.

Conclusions: The NHANES data suggest that HIV infection is associated with poorer markers of some nutritional status indicators; however, the US population prevalence of HIV is 0.5%. Given the small sample size, not only in this study but also in the United States, much more targeted research is needed to better understand the multitude of factors that influence the nutritional status among those living with HIV in the United States, especially among women.

Introduction

Poor nutritional status can both be a cause of and exacerbate infection and inflammation (1); nutrition is an independent predictor of mortality among those with HIV infection (2, 3). The malnutrition of HIV has been associated with various factors: increased likelihood of food insecurity, high costs of prescription medications, nutrient-drug interactions, weight loss due to diarrhea and vomiting, alterations in metabolism and absorption of nutrients, and increased caloric requirements (4, 5). Medical advances in the treatment of HIV/AIDS, such as antiretroviral therapy (ART), have dramatically improved HIV survival rates and also reduced many of the acute malnutrition–related concerns associated with the disease. Meanwhile, with increased life expectancy, people living with HIV are now facing the challenges of chronic diseases. HIV-positive adults were reported to have higher risks of metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and type 2 diabetes, which have the potential to be reduced with optimal nutrition (6–8). Thus, public health concerns over nutrition and HIV have shifted from acute
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malnutrition to providing optimal nutrition to enhance the quality of life and health of infected individuals (9).

Currently, ~1.2 million Americans are living with HIV (10–12), but very little is known about the nutritional status of those living with HIV in the United States that is national in scope. The purpose of this analysis was to characterize the nutritional status of those living with HIV in the United States by using the NHANES 2003–2014, a nationally representative cross-sectional survey of the health and nutrition status of Americans.

**Methods**

NHANES is a cross-sectional survey of the noninstitutionalized civilian US-resident population conducted to assess the health and nutrition status of the US population (13). The survey is conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), CDC. All of the study methods were approved by the NCHS research ethics review board. All of the participants provided informed consent. NHANES participants are selected by using a complex multistage sampling design (14). The NHANES includes an in-home health interview, a physical examination in a mobile examination center, and a follow-up telephone interview. This analysis includes data from NHANES 2003 to 2014, from all nonpregnant adult participants aged 19–49 y who did not refuse the HIV antibody testing, representing a total of both HIV-positive (n = 87) and HIV-negative (n = 15,868) adults. Participants up to the age of 49 y were eligible for the HIV testing from 2003 to 2008 and participants up to the age of 59 y were eligible from 2009 to 2014. For consistency, we limited the sample size to the corresponding age range in the earlier years.

Demographic data were collected during the interview in participants’ homes via a computer-assisted personal interview, interviewer-administered questionnaire. Across most NHANES cycles, self-reported race/ethnicity is categorized as non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic and Mexican American, and “other.” Education was dichotomized as less than high school or high school diploma or GED (General Equivalency Diploma) or higher than high school. The poverty-income ratio (PIR) is a measure that represents the ratio of household income to the poverty threshold after adjustments for geographic location and family size, developed by the Department of Health and Human Services.

Three PIR categories were constructed: <130%, 130% to <150%, and ≥150%. A PIR <130% is the income eligibility criterion for participation in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP; i.e., the former Food Stamps Program), and these cutoffs have been previously used in NHANES analyses because they have been shown to differentiate between health and nutrition indicators (15).

Height and weight were measured during the health examination at the mobile examination center, and BMI was calculated as weight (kilograms)/height (meters squared). Participants were classified as nonoverweight or nonobese [BMI (kg/m²) <25] or overweight or obese (BMI ≥25). Waist circumference was measured at the uppermost lateral border of the iliac crest by using a tape measure (16). Waist circumference risk was calculated by using NIH guidelines: >88 cm for women and >102 cm for men (17).

During the examination, a blood sample was drawn by a trained phlebotomist from all participants who did not refuse the HIV antibody test. HIV status was ascertained on the basis of the presence of antibody to HIV in blood (by using the synthetic peptide enzyme immunoassay technique for HIV-1 or HIV-2 or both). Specimens that were reactive in the initial screening were retested in duplicate with the Genetic Systems HIV-1/HIV-2 peptide enzyme immunoassay (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Specimens that were reactive in either one or both of the duplicates were then tested again for confirmation by using the Western blot technique (Calypte Biomedical Corporation). A limited number of nutritional biomarkers with sufficient survey years available for analysis were available. Therefore, this analysis was limited to serum protein, serum albumin, total cholesterol, TGs, serum glucose, and vitamin D (25-hydroxyvitamin D) (NHANES 2003–2010) and serum and RBC folate (NHANES 2003–2012). Differences in analytical methods across survey years were standardized as recommended by the NCHS. A timed-rate biuret method, a bichromatic digital endpoint method, and the timed-endpoint method were used to measure the concentrations of total protein, albumin, and cholesterol, respectively, with the use of the DxC Synchron Clinical Systems analyzer (Beckman Coulter). A modified microbiological assay method was used for measuring RBC folate. A diluted whole-blood sample was added to an assay medium containing *Lactobacillus casei* (National Collection of Industrial Bacteria 10463) and all of the nutrients necessary for the growth of *L. casei*, except for folate. Because the growth of *L. casei* is proportional to the amount of total folate present in the sample, the total folate concentration was assessed by measuring the turbidity of the inoculated medium in a PowerWave X340 Microplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments). Serum folate was measured by using isotope-dilution HPLC coupled to tandem MS (LC-MS/MS). Ultra-HPLC–tandem MS was used for measuring vitamin D concentration. Detailed laboratory methods are publicly available (18).

Two 24-h dietary recalls were collected by using the USDA's automated multiple-pass method (19, 20). The first 24-h recall was collected in person during the health examination. The second was collected via telephone. The NHANES protocol attempts to have an even distribution of weekdays and weekend days. The USDA Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies was used to convert foods and beverages as reported to determine their respective energy and nutrient values. The dietary data are presented for individuals with complete data on both dietary recalls. The average of nutrient intakes from both dietary recalls was calculated. Supplement use was classified as any dietary supplements taken during the past month.

All of the statistical analysis were performed by using SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc.) and SAS-callable SUDAAN software (version 11.0; RTI International). The sample design includes oversampling in order to obtain reliable estimates of health and nutritional measures for population subgroups. Sample weights, which account for differential probabilities of selection, nonresponse, and noncoverage, were calculated for 12 y for the
examination and dietary data to produce unbiased national estimates. Means were estimated for BMI, dietary components, and biomarkers by HIV status within sexes and by sex within HIV status for infected adults by using contrast statements in Proc Describe. SE estimates were calculated by using Taylor series linearization. The relative SE was calculated for each estimate, and the estimates with relative SEs >40% could be interpreted as statistically unreliable (12). Significance was set at P < 0.05.

**Results**

HIV infection represented <0.5% of the US adult population in NHANES 2003–2014 (data not shown). Men had a higher prevalence of HIV than did women (77% compared with 23%), and non-Hispanic blacks were more likely than other race/ethnic groups to be HIV positive (Table 1). The mean age of HIV-positive individuals was slightly higher (37.7 y) than that of HIV-negative individuals (34.3 y). Educational attainment did not differ by sex within infected individuals or between infected and noninfected adults when sex was combined. Most infected adults were at <350% of the PIR; none of the HIV-positive women belonged to the ≥350% PIR category compared with 38% of noninfected women. There was no consistent or significant pattern of marital status in infected adults, although the distributions differed from those of the noninfected US adult population.

HIV-positive women had a significantly higher BMI (mean ± SE: 34.3 ± 2.3) than did both HIV-negative women (28.4 ± 0.1) and HIV-positive men (26.2 ± 0.7) (Table 2). HIV-positive men had a significantly lower BMI than did HIV-negative men (28.3 ± 0.1).

Indeed, the percentage of those who were overweight or obese was significantly higher for HIV-positive women compared with both HIV-negative women and HIV-positive men and was significantly lower for HIV-positive men compared with HIV-negative men. A similar trend was found with regard to waist circumference and the percentage of those at metabolic risk on the basis of waist circumference.

Consistent in both sexes, HIV-infected adults had higher serum protein, lower serum albumin, and lower serum folate than did non–HIV-infected adults. No significant differences were observed for TGs, glucose, or RBC folate within sexes by HIV status or within HIV-infected individuals by sex. HIV-positive men had lower concentrations of total cholesterol than did HIV-negative men (4.7 compared with 5.0 mmol/L). HIV-positive women had substantially lower serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations than did HIV-negative women (43.7 compared with 64.9 nmol/L).

No differences in energy intake were observed within sexes by HIV status (Table 3). HIV-positive women had significantly different intakes of fiber (mean ± SEM: 9.2 ± 1.5 g compared with 14.7 ± 0.2 g) and protein (83.5 ± 6.1 g compared with 71.3 ± 0.5 g) than did HIV-negative women. Among men, no significant differences in dietary intake were observed by HIV status, except for vitamin B-6, which was lower in HIV-positive men than in HIV-negative men. Compared with HIV-negative women, HIV-positive women had higher intakes of niacin and lower intakes of vitamin E, vitamin K, magnesium, and potassium. No significant difference in the prevalence of dietary supplement use was noted within HIV status and sexes: HIV-positive (mean ± SE: 37% ± 7%) and HIV-negative (38% ± 1%) men and HIV-positive (53% ± 13%) and HIV-negative (49% ± 1%) women (data not shown).

**TABLE 1** Baseline demographic characteristics of US adults aged 19–49 y by HIV status and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HIV-positive adults: group A</th>
<th>HIV-negative adults: group B</th>
<th>P (group A vs. group B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, y, mean ± SEM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (n = 87)</td>
<td>37.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>34.3 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n = 67)</td>
<td>36.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>34.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>34.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n = 20)</td>
<td>40.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>37.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>37.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, %</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>76.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>49.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>50.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23.4 ± 4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education, %</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>25.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>17.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or more</td>
<td>74.8 ± 5.5</td>
<td>83.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>82.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity, %</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>26.3 ± 6.8</td>
<td>63.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>63.9 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic black</td>
<td>55.3 ± 6.7</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic and Mexican</td>
<td>15.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>18 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty-income ratio, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;130%</td>
<td>40.3 ± 6.7</td>
<td>24.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>22.7 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130% to &lt;350%</td>
<td>40.0 ± 6.3</td>
<td>35.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>35.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥350%</td>
<td>19.7 ± 6.5</td>
<td>39.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>41.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status, %</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/living together</td>
<td>44.1 ± 6.4</td>
<td>68.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>69.2 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed/divorced/separated</td>
<td>16.4 ± 4.2</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>39.5 ± 6.0</td>
<td>17.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>18.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Values are estimated percentages ± SEs unless otherwise indicated. Data are from NHANES 2003–2014. Proc Describe was used for mean age comparison and Proc Crosstab procedure was used for comparing all categorical percentages by sex and by HIV status (SAS-Callable SUDAAN; RTI International).

2The “other” race/ethnic group category is not shown.
TABLE 2 Biomarkers of nutritional status and biochemistry profile in US adults aged 19–49 y by HIV status and sex1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker</th>
<th>HIV-positive adults</th>
<th>HIV-negative adults</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group A (men; n = 65)</td>
<td>Group B (women; n = 20)</td>
<td>Group C (men; n = 7838)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>34.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>28.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight or obese, %</td>
<td>50.5 ± 6.1</td>
<td>83.5 ± 10.7</td>
<td>68.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference, cm</td>
<td>93.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>107.4 ± 5.4</td>
<td>98.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At risk, %</td>
<td>15.2 ± 5.2</td>
<td>81.6 ± 11.8</td>
<td>35.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein, g/L</td>
<td>76.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>79.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>72.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin, g/L</td>
<td>41.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>38.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>44.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGs, mmol/L</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum glucose, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D, nmol/L</td>
<td>57.8 ± 4.3</td>
<td>43.7 ± 7.1</td>
<td>62.7 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC folate, nmol/L</td>
<td>765.9 ± 62.0</td>
<td>914.9 ± 210.9</td>
<td>852.8 ± 10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum folate, nmol/L</td>
<td>26.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>24.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>31.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Values are means ± SEs. Data from NHANES 2003–2014 were combined to estimate mean total nutrient intakes.
2RAE, retinol activity equivalent.

Discussion

In this cross-sectional national survey, HIV-positive status in women but not in men was associated with poor nutritional status, including high BMI and waist circumference, lower mean dietary intakes of many key nutrients, and suboptimal concentrations of some biomarkers of nutritional status, compared with HIV-negative adults. The mean BMI of HIV-infected women falls within the grade II obese range, indicating substantially increased risk of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, and type 2 diabetes. Interestingly, the nutritional status of men with HIV did not differ substantially when compared with HIV-negative men; in fact, HIV-positive men had a mean BMI that more closely approximated the normal range, with a much lower waist circumference risk than any of the other groups. Indeed, men with HIV are very different than women with HIV in many ways and have >3 times higher prevalence than women (12). The CDC estimates that the majority (83%) of new HIV infection in men is among men who have sex...
with men, whereas infection rates in women are not as related to sexual orientation (21). Data from multiple sources indicate that HIV infection is higher in non-Hispanic blacks than in other race/ethnic groups in both men and women (12, 21, 22).

Before the availability of ART, weight loss was an important diagnostic criterion for HIV and a distinguishing feature of AIDS (23, 24). However, in this post-ART analysis, the risk of overweight and obesity, specifically for women, was observed. A study by Sharma et al. (25) suggested that HIV-positive women receiving ART treatment gain weight, although ART use was associated with only modest change in BMI. A cohort study that followed HIV-infected adults in the United States and Canada also reported that HIV-positive white women had a higher BMI after 3 y of ART compared with their age-matched NHANES controls, whereas no such difference was observed for HIV-positive men or nonwhite women (26). Previous CDC reports indicate that approximately half of US adults with HIV report the use of ART (12). Given the dramatic differences in the BMI of men and women with HIV, the use of ART alone is unlikely responsible for the obesity observed in women with HIV. Future work should seek to understand the relations of HIV and overweight and obesity in women, and whether the association is driven by race/ethnicity or other factors. Previous studies have not observed an association of BMI and HIV status but have documented higher waist circumferences in HIV-infected adults than in HIV-negative adults (27).

Total protein concentrations were higher and albumin concentrations were lower in both men and women with HIV when compared within sexes with noninfected adults, which is consistent with other studies (9, 28, 29). Lower serum albumin may be indicative of poor nutritional status or other health conditions and is an independent predictor of mortality in HIV-infected women (30). Among both men and women with HIV, lower concentrations of serum folate were observed, with no differences in RBC folate, when compared with HIV-negative adults. Although HIV infection is associated with anemia of chronic disease, we were unable to confirm the antecedents of low serum folate alone. Both HIV status (31–33) and ART (34–36) are individual predictors of vitamin D status and bone health. HIV-infected women with vitamin D deficiency have a higher risk of developing osteoporosis than do HIV-negative controls with vitamin D deficiency (37–42). Similar to other studies, this NHANES analysis also suggests that HIV-positive women are at higher risk of vitamin D inadequacy (<50 nmol/L), defined by the National Academy of Medicine, which could be one of the contributing causes of the high prevalence of osteopenia or osteoporosis among HIV-infected adults receiving ART (43).

To our knowledge, this is the first study to characterize the nutritional status of people living with HIV in a nationally representative sample of US adults. However, this national survey is not designed specifically for HIV and diseases with a low population prevalence. Furthermore, a limited number of nutritional biomarkers were available across multiple years in NHANES. Limitations exist with self-reported dietary intake, including a well-known and characterized energy underreporting bias (44). Due to the small number of participants who were HIV positive, it would not be possible to provide reliable estimates by stratifying on other factors beyond sex; however, given the strong association with infection and race/ethnicity, future work should seek to address how race/ethnicity influences nutrition and infection. Similarly, the very small sample sizes, particularly among women, indicate that much more data are needed to understand the nutritional needs associated with HIV infection in the United States. Our results should be considered with these caveats in mind. The findings of this report should be a call to action that much more data are needed on the nutritional aspects of living with HIV in America.

Acknowledgments

We thank Victor Fulgoni (Nutrition Impact LLC) and Jaime Gauche (NIH/Old of Dietary Supplements) who provided unpaid consultation on the NHANES methodology and provided guidance on the data analysis. The authors’ responsibilities were as follows—SVT: designed the project and performed the preliminary data analysis; SJ and AC: prepared the tables and confirmed the data presented within this manuscript that were originally prepared by SVT; and all authors: performed the literature search, drafted sections of the manuscript, aided in data interpretation, and read and approved the final manuscript.

References


